NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE PEOPLE,	B186645
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. BA223837)
v.	Super: 6 1(6) 211228687)
DRAYTON MORRIS,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.

Kristi Lousteau, Temporary Judge. (Pursuant to Cal. Const., art. VI, § 21.) Affirmed.

Thomas W. Kielty, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

* *	•

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Drayton Morris appeals from judgment entered after conviction following his open plea of guilty to possession of cocaine. Appellant also admitted allegations that he was convicted of a serious or violent felony in 1988 and served prison terms for that conviction and a 1998 narcotics possession conviction. The court vacated the "strike" finding and sentenced appellant to five years in prison. However, it suspended execution of the sentence and granted him probation.

Appellant's' probation was revoked and reinstated twice. A new criminal charge was then filed against him. He admitted violating his probation. The court revoked and terminated his probation and the sentence previously imposed was executed. The trial court granted appellant's application for a certificate of probable cause.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On June 7, 2006, we advised appellant he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

	BOLAND, J.
We concur:	

COOPER, P.J. RUBIN, J.